	Case 1:24-cv-00958-GSA	Document 11	Filed 01/24/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	FRED FELEKI MARTINEZ,		No. 1:24-cv-0958	GSA (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,			G PLAINTIFF'S MOTION	
13	V.			TMENT OF COUNSEL	
14	COYLE,		(ECF No. 10)		
15	Defendant.				
16					
17	Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. ECF No. 10. In support of it, Plaintiff				
18	asserts that he suffers from a severe mental illness which, at times, has required that he be sent to				
19	psychiatric facilities. <u>Id.</u> at 1. At times, Plaintiff contends, he is unaware of reality. <u>Id.</u> Plaintiff				
20	also attaches an Inmate Request for Assistance from Court form, which is signed by a prison				
21	employee. <u>Id.</u> at 2-3. Presumably, it has been attached to support his claim that he needs				
22	appointed counsel to pursue this case.				
23	The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require				
24	counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490				
25	U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary				
26	assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017				
27	(9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present				
28					
			1		

1	case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the				
2	appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.				
3	A review of Plaintiff's complaint indicates that he is able to present the facts of his case				
4	in a relatively clear manner. In addition, the kinds of documents that Plaintiff attached to his				
5	complaint (e.g., grievances and a decision on appeal) indicate that Plaintiff has a basic				
6	understanding of how to prosecute his case and support the arguments in it. For these reasons, no				
7	exceptional circumstances have been found here and the motion will be denied.				
8	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's request for the appointment of				
9	counsel (ECF No. 10) is DENIED.				
10					
11					
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.					
13	Dated: January 23, 2025 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	2				
	<u> </u>				